UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

ののののののののののののの

Blue Spike, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

Texas Instruments, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 6:12-cv-499 MHS

LEAD CASE

Jury Trial Demanded

ORDER GRANTING BLUE SPIKE, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS AUDIBLE MAGIC CORPORATION'S COUNTERCLAIMS

On this day came for consideration Blue Spike, LLC's ("Blue Spike's") Motion to Dismiss Defendant Audible Magic Corporation's ("Defendant's") Counterclaims in the above-captioned proceeding pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6). Having considered both Blue Spike's motion and supporting papers, and Defendant's opposition and supporting papers, the Court is of the opinion that said motion should be GRANTED.

Defendant's counterclaim 10 is dismissed because unjust enrichment is not recognized as an independent cause of action under Texas law. Defendant's counterclaim 11 is dismissed because it is not actionable under the Lanham Act. The statement on which counterclaim 11 relies does not refer to a commercial good or service, is immaterial, and is not sufficiently specific or measurable.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Blue Spike LLC's Motion to Dismiss is hereby granted pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6).

IT IS SO ORDERED.